IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION No. 1312 of 1998
With
CIVIL APPLICATION No. 10836 of 2006

For Approval and Signature:

HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE D.A.MEHTA Sd/-

==========

1 Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?

2 To be referred to the Reporter or not ?

3 Whether their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgment ?

4 Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the constitution of India, 1950 or any order made thereunder ?

5 Whether it is to be circulated to the civil judge ?

==========

KESHAVRAV GOVINDRAV - Applicant(s)
Versus
NARAYANRAV BANVANTRAV MORE - Opponent(s)

==========

Appearance :
MS RUKHSANA M PATHAN for Applicant(s) : 1,
MS MEGHA JANI for Opponent(s) : 1,

==========

CORAM : HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE D.A.MEHTA

Date : 21/09/2006

ORAL JUDGMENT

1 The applicant has moved Civil Application No.10836 of 2006 with a prayer to reject Civil Revision Application No.1312 of 1998 as having become infructuous as the disputed suit premises have now been sold to the original defendant by the original plaintiff. The learned Advocate for the defendant is here. Both the parties are personally present in the Court and they have been identified by Mr. Chirayu Mehta for Ms.Megha Jani. The learned Advocate appearing on behalf of the plaintiff also accepts the aforesaid fact.

2 In the circumstances, it is apparent that nothing survives in the Civil Revision Application. Therefore, as the papers of the main Civil Revision Application being Civil Revision Application No.1312 of 1998 are available the same is taken up for hearing.

3 In light of the aforesaid facts Civil Revision Application No. 1312 of 1998 having become infructuous is disposed of as such. Rule discharged.

4 In light of the above order Civil Application No.10836 of 2006 has become infructuous and is disposed of as such.

Sd/-
(D.A.Mehta, J)

m.m.bhatt